Shire of Cunderdin Risk Dashboard Report September 2024

Asset Sustainability practices		Risk	Control
		High	Adequate
Actions / Treatments Due Date		Responsibility	
Photographing of assets	Dec-25	DCEO/MWS	
Continued planning of maintenance programs	Dec-25	DCEO/MWS	

External theft & fraud (Including Cyber)		Risk	Control
		Moderate	Adequate
Actions / Treatments Due Date		Responsibility	
Renew photos of all shire works assets (building and plant) (Photos taken December 2018)	Dec-25	ETO/MOWS	
Renew Photos of all administration assets - in progress	Dec-25	Admin	
			•

Business & Community disruption		Risk	Control
		High	Adequate
Actions / Treatments Due Date		Responsibility	
Complete I.T Disaster Recovery Plan Dec-24		DC	EO
Investigate Funding options for Generator-	Dec-24	DCEO/CDO	
Review Business Continuity Plan	Jun-24	DCEC)/GCO

Management of Facilities / Venues / Events		Risk	Control
		Moderate	Adequate
Actions / Treatments	Actions / Treatments Due Date		sibility

Failure to fulfil Compliance requirements (statutory,		Risk	Control
regulatory)		Moderate	Adequate
Actions / Treatments	Due Date	Respor	nsibility
Two year Legislative review of compliance (Reg 17)	May-23	DCEO/CEO	

IT or communication systems and infrastructure		Risk	Control
		High	Effective
Actions / Treatments Due Date		Responsibility	
Complete IT Disaster Recovery Plan	Dec-24	DCEO	
Installation of CCTV, Smoke Alarm , Air Conditioning, Digital locks and Roller Shutters	Jan-24	DCEO	

Document Management processes		Risk	Control
		High	Adequate
Actions / Treatments	Actions / Treatments Due Date		nsibility
Create document disaster recovery plan	Dec-24	DCEO	
Ongoing training towards record management	Ongoing	DCEO	
_			

Misconduct		Risk	Control
		High	Adequate
Actions / Treatments Due Date		Respor	nsibility
Drivers Licence Checks - Administration	Ongoing	Admin / GCO	

Employment practices		Risk	Control
		Moderate	Adequate
Actions / Treatments	Due Date	Respoi	nsibility
Performance appraisals / Review process	Ongoing	DCEO / MWS	

Project / Change management		Risk	Control
		High	Adequate
Actions / Treatments Due Date		Responsibility	

Engagement practices		Risk	Control	
		Moderate	Adequate	
Actions / Treatments Due Date		Respor	nsibility	
Ongoing reviews of website	Ongoing	CDO	′GOV	
Regular use of Whatsapp, Facebook, Surveys, Newsletter and Instagram			DCEO/CESM/CDO/GOV	
	+			

Safety and Security practices		Risk	Control
Safety and Security practices		Moderate	Adequate
Actions / Treatments Due Date		Responsibility	

Environment management		Risk	Control
LITVITORIMENT Management	Moderate	Adequate	
Actions / Treatments	Due Date	Respo	nsibility
Environment Management Plan	Ongoing	CDO	/GOV

Supplier / Contract management		Risk	Control	
Supplier / Contract management		High	Adequate	
Actions / Treatments Due Date		Responsibility		
Review contractor and supplier contract arrangements	Annually	FP	A-ES	

Errors, omissions & delays		Risk	Control
Litors, omissions & delays	Moderate	Adequate	
Actions / Treatments	Actions / Treatments Due Date		
_			

To add additional Issues / Actions / Treatments cells, insert a new line, click in the last of the existing cells above and drag down. This will bring the formulas into the new cells.

MEASURES OF CONSEQUENCE

					REPUTATION	PROPERTY		Pro	ject
RATING	PEOPLE	FINANCIAL IMPACT	SERVICE INTERRUPTION	COMPLIANCE	(Social / Community)	(Plant, Equip, Buildings)	ENVIRONMENT	TIME	COST
Insignificant (1)	Near-Miss Minor first aid injuries	Less than \$20,000	No material service interruption	No noticeable regulatory or statutory impact	Unsubstantiated, low impact low profile or 'no news' item	Inconsequential damage.	Contained, reversible impact managed by on site response	Exceeds deadline by 10% of project timeline	Exceeds project budget by 10%
Minor (2)	Medical type injuries	\$20,000 - \$100,000	Short term temporary interruption – backlog cleared < 1 day	Some temporary non compliances	Substantiated, low impact, low news item	Localised damage rectified by routine internal procedures	Contained, reversible impact managed by internal response	Exceeds deadline by 15% of project timeline	Exceeds project budget by 15%
Moderate (3)	Lost time injury <30 days	\$100,001 to \$500,000	Medium term temporary interruption – backlog cleared by additional resources < 1 Week	Short term non- compliance but with significant regulatory requirements imposed	Substantiated, public embarrassment, moderate impact, moderate news profile	Localised damage requiring external resources to rectify	Contained, reversible impact managed by external agencies	Exceeds deadline by 20% of project timeline	Exceeds project budget by 20%
Major (4)	Lost time injury >30 days	\$500,001 to \$1,000,000	Prolonged interruption of services – additional resources; performance affected <1 Month	Non-compliance results in termination of services or imposed penalties	Substantiated, public embarrassment, high impact, high news profile, thrid party actions	Significant damage requiring internal & external resources to rectify		Exceeds deadline by 25% of project timeline	Exceeds project budget by 25%
Extreme (5)	Fatality, permanent disability	More than \$1,000,000	Indeterminate prolonged interruption of services non- performance > 1 month	Non-compliance results in litigation, criminal charges or significant damages or penalties	Substantiated, public embarrassment, very high mulltiple impacts, high widespread multiple news profile, third action actions	Extensive damage requiring prolonged period of restitution. Complete loss of plant, equipment & building	Uncontained, irreversible impact	Exceeds deadline by 30% of project timeline	Exceeds project budget by 30%

LOCAL GOVERNMENT (AUDIT) REGULATIONS 1996 - REG 17

- 17. CEO to review certain systems and procedures
 - (1) The CEO is to review the appropriateness and effectiveness of a local government's systems and procedures in relation to
 - (a) risk management; and
 - (b) internal control; and
 - (c) legislative compliance.
 - (2) The review may relate to any or all of the matters referred to in subregulation (1)(a), (b) and (c), but each of those matters is to be the subject of a review at least once every 2 calendar years.
 - (3) The CEO is to report to the audit committee the results of that review.

[Regulation 17 inserted in Gazette 8 Feb 2013 p. 868.]

Asset Sustainability practices

Sep-24

Risk Context

Failure or reduction in service of infrastructure assets, plant, equipment or machinery.

These include fleet, buildings, roads, playgrounds, boat ramps and all other assets during their lifecycle from procurement to disposal. Areas included in the scope are;

- -Inadequate design (not fit for purpose)
- -Ineffective usage (down time)
- -Outputs not meeting expectations
- -Inadequate maintenance activities.
- -Inadequate financial management and planning (capital renewal plan).

Skill level & behaviour of operators	Unavailability of parts	· ·		
Lack of trained staff	Lack of formal or app		naintenance /	
Outdated equipment	Unexpected breakdov			
Insufficient budget to maintain or replace assets	'			Controls Assurance
	_			
Key Controls	Туре	Date	Rating	Control Owner
Long Term Financial Plan (budget forecast / allocation)	Preventative	May-21	Adequate	DCEO
Asset management plan	Preventative	Aug-21	Adequate	DCEO
Asset replacement program - Plant and equipment	Preventative	Ongoing	Adequate	DCEO
Asset renewal/maintenance program for buildings, etc.	Preventative	Annual (Budget)	Adequate	DCEO
Asset renewal program for roads, bridges	Preventative	Annual (Budget)	Adequate	MWS
Managerial oversight during procurement and or establishment of assets	Preventative	Ongoing	Effective	CEO
Most assets photographed	Preventative	Ongoing	Adequate	DCEO/MWS
Planned maintenance program: (buildings & other structures)	Preventative	Annual (Budget)	Adequate	DCEO
Planned maintenance program: (roads, footpaths & bridges)	Preventative	Annual (Budget)	Adequate	MWS
Planned maintenance: (parks & reserves)	Preventative	Annual (Budget)	Adequate	MWS
All maintenance and repairs are documented	Preventative	Ongoing	Adequate	DCEO
Reactive maintenance	Recovery	Ongoing	Effective	MWS/DCEO
Insurance	Recovery	Ongoing	Effective	DCEO
		- 3 3		
	Overa	all Control Ratings:	Adequate	
Actions		Due Date	Responsibility	Status of Actions
Photographing of assets		Dec-25	DCEO/MWS	planned
Continued planning of maintenance programs		Dec-25	DCEO/MWS	planned
Consequence Category	Risk R	atings	Rating	k Rating Changed since the
		Consequence:	Major (4)	Consequence
		Likelihood:	Possible (3)	Likelihood
Service interruption, Financial	0	verall Risk Ratings:	High	Risk rating trend since las
		1		review
Indicators	Туре	Benchmark		Result
effectiveness and timeliness of Plans	Lagging			
Accidents and / or damage to property	Lagging			
	1			
				<u>Comments</u>

Business & Community disruption			Son 24	
Business & Community disruption			Sep-24	
Risk Context				
Failure to adequately prepare and respond to events that cause disactivities. This could be a natural disaster, weather event, or an acthis includes; -Lack of (or inadequate) emergency response / business continuity -Lack of training for specific individuals or availability of appropriate -Failure in command and control functions as a result of incorrect ir -Inadequacies in environmental awareness and monitoring of fuel le This does not include disruptions due to IT Systems or infrastructure systems and infrastructure".	plans. emergency response. nitial assessment or until pads, curing rates etc	nal party (e.g. sabot	age / terrorism).	
Potential causes include;				
Cyclone, storm, fire, earthquake	Extended utility outage			
Terrorism / sabotage / criminal behaviour	Economic Factors			
Epidemic / Pandemic	Loss of key staff			
Loss of suppliers	Loss of key infrastructu	ıre		
Climate change	,			Controls Assurance
Key Controls	Туре	Date	Rating	Control Owner
Regular Local and District Emergency Management Committee meetings (LEMC / DEMC)	Preventative	Ongoing	Adequate	CESM
Community recovery preparation	Preventative	Ongoing	Adequate	GOV
Community fire prevention education Current internal Emergency Management Plan	Preventative Preventative	Ongoing Dec-21	Adequate Adequate	CESM / RATES CESM / GOV
All vehicles are stocked with relevant PPE/safety gear	Preventative	Ongoing	Effective	TO
Business Continuity Framework (Policy, Procedures & Plans)	Preventative	Dec-22	Adequate	DCEO / GOV
Generator	Recovery	Jun-24	Adequate	DCEO/CDO
I.T. Disaster Recovery Plan Insurance	Recovery Recovery	scheduled Ongoing	Inadequate Effective	DCEO DCEO
	Overall	Control Ratings:	Adequate	
Actions		Due Date	Responsibility	Status of Actions / Treatments
Complete I.T Disaster Recovery Plan		Dec-24	DCEO	liaising with IT contractor
Review Business Continuity Plan		Dec-23	DCEO/GCO	Investigation
Investigate Funding options for Generator-		Dec-23	DCEO/CDO	n Budget and being completed utilising DFR Gran
	1			Has the Risk Rating Changed since the last
Consequence Category	Risk Ra	tings	Rating	review?
Service Interruption / Reputation		Consequence: Likelihood:	Major (4) Possible (3)	Consequence: Likelihood:
	Ove	erall Risk Ratings:	High	Risk rating trend since last review
Indicators	Type Benchma		nmark	Result
Comments				Comments
IT Disaster recovery plan include in recent IT Tender to be com	pleted.			

regulatory)				
Risk Context				
Failure to correctly identify, interpret, assess, respond and common compliance framework. This includes, new or proposed regulator updated internal & public domain legal documentation. It includes Development Act, Health Act, Building Act, Dog Act, Cat Act, Frenchligations for Local Government.	ry and legislative chang s (amongst others) the	es, in addition to the Local Government A	failure to maintain ct, Planning &	
It does not include Occupational Safety & Health Act (refer "Inad Practices based legislation (refer "Ineffective Employment praction		rity practices") or an	y Employment	
Potential causes include;				
_ack of training, awareness and knowledge				
Staff Turnover	No Compliance Office oversight and enforce		ole for Compliance	
nadequate record keeping/ failure of corporate electronic	Breakdowns in the ten		process	
systems		·		
neffective policies & processes	Ineffective monitoring	of changes to legisla	tion	
mpulsive decision making Councillor turnover	Attitudinal problems			Controls Assurance
COMMONIAL MITTOVOL				John Jis Assurance
Key Controls	Туре	Date	Rating	Control Owner
Compliance Audit Return (DLGC)	Preventative	Mar-24	Adequate	DCEO / GOV
External Auditor reviews (compliance) Two year Legislative review of compliance (Reg 17)	Preventative Preventative	May-23 May-23	Adequate Adequate	DCEO CEO
Membership of professional associations (AIBS, Building	Preventative	May-23	Not Rated	CEO
Commission)				D050 / 001/
Strict tender and procurement process (including eQuotes) Compliance framework / calendar & standard operating	Preventative	Ongoing	Adequate	DCEO / GOV
procedures	Preventative	Ongoing	Adequate	GOV
Subscriptions (WALGA / DLGC / LGMA and other peak bodies)	Preventative	Ongoing	Adequate	DCEO
Audit Committee Standardised forms & checksheets	Preventative	Ongoing	Adequate	CEO DCEO
State Administrative Tribunal / Ombudsman	Preventative Recovery	Ongoing	Effective Adequate	DCEO
	0	# 0 4 1 D - 4		
	Overa	II Control Ratings:	Adequate	20.0.00
Actions		Due Date	Responsibility	Status of Actions / Treatments
Two year Legislative review of compliance (Reg 17)		May-23	DCEO/CEO	Completed
Consequence Category	Risk R	atings	Rating	Has the Risk Rating Changed since the last review?
Reputation, Financial		Consequence:	Moderate (3)	ye
		Likelihood:	Possible (3)	ı
	0	verall Risk Ratings:	Moderate	Risk rating trend since las review
Indicators	Type Bench		nmark	Result
Audit notifications	Leading			
ncreased scrutiny from regulators or agencies Internal monitoring of operations and procedures	Lagging Leading			
Litigation, fines or penalties	Lagging			
Statutory complaints	Lagging			
Comments				Comments
				İ

Document Management processes			Sep-24			
Risk Context			'			
Failure to adequately capture, store, archive, retrieve, provid Contact lists. Procedural documents, personnel files, complaints. Applications, proposals or documents. Contracts. Forms or requests.	e or dispose of documentatior	n. This includes:				
'						
Potential causes include;	Outdated record keeping					
Spreadsheet/database/document corruption or loss						
Inadequate access and / or security levels	Lack of system/applicati	on knowledge				
Inadequate Storage facilities (including climate control)	High workloads and time	e pressures				
High Staff turnover	Standard Operating Poli	icies not followed				
Incompatible systems	Incomplete Authorisation	n Trails				
Lack of awareness of the State Records Act	of awareness of the State Records Act Lack of awareness of use of network drives and folders					
Historical legacies				Controls Assurance		
Key Controls	Туре	Date	Rating	Control Owner		
All incoming documents are scanned and registered	Preventative	Daily	Effective	Admin		
Regular reminders to staff to follow standard operating procedures	Preventative	Ongoing	Adequate	MGMT		
procedures Record-keeping Plan	Preventative	Oct-22	Adequate	DCEO		
Records Management Policy / Processes / Manual	Preventative	Ongoing	Effective	Gov		
Document / correspondence receipt & action process	Preventative	Ongoing	Effective	Admin		
Document security (physical and electronic)	Preventative	Ongoing	Effective	DCEO		
Archival process and secure archive storage room Electronic document management system	Preventative Preventative	Ongoing	Adequate Not Rated	DCEO		
Document disaster recovery plan	Recovery	In Progress	Adequate	DCEO		
Electronic records back up	Recovery	Ongoing	Adequate	DCEO		
	D 1 1		No Control			
Incoming records weekly report Checks in place to look at network drives, folders and their	Detective		Not Rated			
growth	Detective		Not Rated			
Ongoing awareness training and education	Preventative	Jan-22	Effective	DCEO		
Disposal and retention schedule.	Preventative Preventative	Jan-22	Effective	DCEO		
Authority system Information sheets	Preventative	Ongoing	Effective Not Rated	DCEO		
Controlled environment storage facility	Preventative		Not Rated			
E-mail archiving process	Preventative	Dec-22	Effective	DCEO		
<u>9</u> F						
	Overall	Control Ratings:	Adequate			
Actions			Adequate Responsibility	Status of Actions /		
Actions			•	Treatments		
Actions Create document disaster recovery plan		Due Date	Responsibility			
Actions Create document disaster recovery plan		Due Date Dec-24	Responsibility DCEO	Treatments working with IT to develop		
Actions Create document disaster recovery plan		Due Date Dec-24	Responsibility DCEO	Treatments working with IT to develop		
Actions Create document disaster recovery plan		Due Date Dec-24	Responsibility DCEO	Treatments working with IT to develop		
Actions Create document disaster recovery plan Ongoing training towards record management		Due Date Dec-24	Responsibility DCEO DCEO	Treatments working with IT to develop training being completed Has the Risk Rating Changed since the last		
Actions Create document disaster recovery plan Ongoing training towards record management	Risk Ratings	Due Date Dec-24	Responsibility DCEO DCEO Rating	Treatments working with IT to develop training being completed Has the Risk Rating Changed since the last review?		
Actions Create document disaster recovery plan Ongoing training towards record management	Risk Ratings Consequence:	Due Date Dec-24	Responsibility DCEO DCEO Rating Major (4)	Treatments working with IT to develop training being completed Has the Risk Rating Changed since the last review?		
Actions Create document disaster recovery plan Ongoing training towards record management Consequence Category	Risk Ratings Consequence:	Due Date Dec-24	Responsibility DCEO DCEO Rating Major (4)	Treatments working with IT to develop training being completed Has the Risk Rating Changed since the last review?		
Actions Create document disaster recovery plan Ongoing training towards record management Consequence Category	Risk Ratings Consequence: Likelihood:	Due Date Dec-24	Responsibility DCEO DCEO Rating Major (4) Likely (4)	Treatments working with IT to develop training being completed Has the Risk Rating Changed since the last review? N Risk rating trend since last		
Actions Create document disaster recovery plan Ongoing training towards record management Consequence Category Compliance / Reputation	Risk Ratings Consequence: Likelihood: Overall Risk Ratings:	Due Date Dec-24 Ongoing	Responsibility DCEO DCEO Rating Major (4) Likely (4)	Treatments working with IT to develop training being completed Has the Risk Rating Changed since the last review? N Risk rating trend since last review		
Actions Create document disaster recovery plan Ongoing training towards record management Consequence Category Compliance / Reputation Indicators Complaints relating to documentation Number of documents not appropriately archived	Risk Ratings Consequence: Likelihood: Overall Risk Ratings:	Due Date Dec-24 Ongoing	Responsibility DCEO DCEO Rating Major (4) Likely (4)	Treatments working with IT to develop training being completed Has the Risk Rating Changed since the last review? N Risk rating trend since last review		
Actions Create document disaster recovery plan Ongoing training towards record management Consequence Category Compliance / Reputation Indicators Complaints relating to documentation Number of documents not appropriately archived Number of documents not stored electronically	Risk Ratings Consequence: Likelihood: Overall Risk Ratings: Type Lagging Leading Leading Leading	Due Date Dec-24 Ongoing	Responsibility DCEO DCEO Rating Major (4) Likely (4)	Treatments working with IT to develop training being completed Has the Risk Rating Changed since the last review? N Risk rating trend since last review		
Actions Create document disaster recovery plan Ongoing training towards record management Consequence Category Compliance / Reputation Indicators Complaints relating to documentation Number of documents not appropriately archived Number of documents not stored electronically Number of misplaced files	Risk Ratings Consequence: Likelihood: Overall Risk Ratings: Type Lagging Leading Leading Lagging Lagging	Due Date Dec-24 Ongoing	Responsibility DCEO DCEO Rating Major (4) Likely (4)	Treatments working with IT to develop training being completed Has the Risk Rating Changed since the last review? N Risk rating trend since last review		
Actions Create document disaster recovery plan Ongoing training towards record management Consequence Category Compliance / Reputation Indicators Complaints relating to documentation Number of documents not appropriately archived Number of documents not stored electronically Number of misplaced files	Risk Ratings Consequence: Likelihood: Overall Risk Ratings: Type Lagging Leading Leading Leading	Due Date Dec-24 Ongoing	Responsibility DCEO DCEO Rating Major (4) Likely (4)	Treatments working with IT to develop training being completed Has the Risk Rating Changed since the last review? N Risk rating trend since last review		
Actions Create document disaster recovery plan Ongoing training towards record management Consequence Category Compliance / Reputation	Risk Ratings Consequence: Likelihood: Overall Risk Ratings: Type Lagging Leading Leading Lagging Lagging	Due Date Dec-24 Ongoing	Responsibility DCEO DCEO Rating Major (4) Likely (4)	Treatments working with IT to develop training being completed Has the Risk Rating Changed since the last review? N Risk rating trend since last review		

Employment practices			Sep-24	
Risk Context				
Failure to effectively manage and lead human resources (full-tin This includes: Not having appropriately qualified or experienced people in the Insufficient staff numbers to achieve objectives. Breaching employee regulations. Discrimination, harassment & bullying in the workplace. Poor employee wellbeing (causing stress). Key person dependencies without effective succession plannin Industrial activity.	right roles.	mporary and volunte	ers).	
Potential causes include;				
eadership failures	Ineffective performance	e management progr	rams or procedures	
(ey / single-person dependencies	Limited staff availability			
Poor internal communications / relationships	Inadequate induction p	•		
neffective Human Resources policies, procedures and practice	 			
Transcription (Control of Control				Controls Assurance
Key Controls	Туре	Date	Rating	Control Owner
Performance appraisals / Review process	Preventative	Ongoing	Adequate	DCEO/ MWS
ncourage Staff social activities	Preventative		Not Rated	
nduction process (Code of Conduct Component)	Preventative	Ongoing	Adequate	DCEO/ MWS
Ongoing staff training and education program	Preventative	Ongoing	Adequate	DCEO/ MWS
Vork/life balance	Preventative	0 00	Not Rated	2050 / 201/
Vorkforce Plan	Preventative	Sep-23	Adequate	DCEO / GOV
Succession Planning	Preventative	Ongoing	Adequate	CEO DCEO
mployee Assistance Program & HR support xit interview	Recovery	Ongoing	Adequate Not Rated	MGMT
nsurance	Recovery Recovery	Ongoing	Adequate	DCEO
insurance	Recovery	Origoning	Adequate	BOLO
	Ove	rall Control Ratings:	Adequate	
Actions		Due Date	Responsibility	Status of Actions / Treatments
Performance appraisals / Review process		Ongoing	DCEO / MWS	implemented - ongoing
Consequence Category	Risk Ra		Rating	Has the Risk Rating Changed since to last review?
		Consequence: Likelihood:	Moderate (3)	
Compliance, Health, Reputational, Financial			Possible (3)	
	Ove	rall Risk Ratings:	Moderate	Risk rating trend since last review
Indicators	Type Benchmark			Result
	Leading	\$ or hrs / er	mployee / % of salary	
		I	%PA	
verage absenteeism	Lagging		%PA	
vverage absenteeism Employee Turnover (% Staff turnover rate)	Leading		%PA	
Average absenteeism Employee Turnover (% Staff turnover rate)	Leading Leading		%PA	
verage absenteeism Employee Turnover (% Staff turnover rate) Legal claims, fines Vorkers Compensation claims (stress claims)	Leading		%РА	
Suitable budget for training Average absenteeism Employee Turnover (% Staff turnover rate) Legal claims, fines Workers Compensation claims (stress claims) Employee Satisfaction survey	Leading Leading Leading		%PA	
Average absenteeism Employee Turnover (% Staff turnover rate) Legal claims, fines Vorkers Compensation claims (stress claims)	Leading Leading Leading		%PA	<u>Comments</u>

Engagement practices Sep-24 Risk Context Failure to maintain effective working relationships with the Community (including local Media), Stakeholders, Key Private Sector Companies, Government Agencies and / or Elected Members. This includes activities where communication, feedback or consultation is required and where it is in the best interests to do so. For example; -Following up on any access & inclusion issues Infrastructure Projects -Local planning initiatives -Strategic planning initiatives This does not include instances whereby Community expectations have not been met for standard service provisions such as Community Events, Library Services and / or Bus/Transport services. Potential causes include; Short lead times Relationship breakdowns with community groups Leadership inattention to current issues Miscommunication / poor communication Inadequate Regional or District Committee attendance. Inadequate documentation or procedures nadequate involvement with, or support of community groups Budget / funding issues Media attention Geographic distance **Controls Assurance** Rating **Control Owner Key Controls** Date Type Advisory committees / groups Preventative Ongoing Adequate CEO Community-based committees, forums & workshops Preventative Ongoing Adequate CEO CFO Community engagement framework Preventative Not Rated Road side signage - inclusive of Fire Danger Rating and variable Preventative Ongoing Adequate MOWS message boards Public Notices / local papers / website communication Preventative Ongoing Adequate CDO Social media platforms (Facebook / Twitter, etc.) Preventative Ongoing Adequate CDO Adequate CEO Support local Volunteer groups Preventative Ongoing Network with other Government agencies (DEC, DOW) Preventative Adequate CEO Ongoing CEO Adequate Complaints management process Recovery Ongoing **Overall Control Ratings:** Adequate Status of Actions / Treatments Actions **Due Date** Responsibility ongoing Ongoing reviews of website Ongoing CDO/GOV Regular use of Whatsapp, Facebook, Surveys, Newsletter and Instagram DCEO/CESM/CDO/GOV ongoing Ongoing Has the Risk Rating Changed **Consequence Category Risk Ratings** Rating since the last review? Consequence: Minor (2) No Reputation Possible (3) Likelihood: No Risk rating trend since last Overall Risk Ratings: Moderate review Indicators Type **Benchmark** Result Complaints from the community referring to lack of opportunity to Lagging

Lagging

Lagging

Comments

participate

engagement

Comments

Committee meetings

Number of substantiated complaints referring to poor

Surprise issues being raised in Council, Community or

Environment management			Sep-24	
Risk Context				
Inadequate prevention, identification, enforcement and managem The scope includes; -Lack of adequate planning and management of coastal erosion i -Failure to identify and effectively manage contaminated sites (included -Waste facilities (landfill / transfer stations)Weed & mosquito / Vector controlIneffective management of water sources (reclaimed, potable) -Illegal dumpingIllegal clearing / land use.	ssues.			
Potential causes include;				
Inadequate management of landfill sites	Inadequate reporting /	oversight frameworks	 S	
Lack of understanding / knowledge	Community apathy			
Inadequate local laws / planning schemes	Differing land tenure (I	and occupancy or ow	nership conditions)	
Prolific extractive industry (sand, limestone, etc.)	Competing land use (g	rowing population vs	conservation)	
Poor management of contaminated sites	Weed and pest manage		,	
Clandestine drug labs disposing of chemicals illegally	Bio-diversity hotspots	,		
Weather events / natural disasters	Fuel or chemical spills			
Climate change	Illegal firewood collecti		1	
Complex legislation		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	1	Controls Assurance
Key Controls	Туре	Date	Rating	Control Owner
Soil and water testing	Preventative		Not Rated	
Environment Management Plan	Preventative		Inadequate	EHO
Community education & engagement e.g. schools / new home- Conduct environmental health inspections	Preventative Preventative	Ongoing Ongoing	Effective Adequate	CDO EHO
Litter collection schedule	Preventative	Jul-24	Adequate	RATES OFF
Vector control	Preventative	Ongoing	Effective	MWS
Encourage recycling efforts (glass, oil, batteries, etc.)	Recovery	Ongoing	Effective	CDO
	Ove	rall Control Ratings:	Adequate	
Actions		Due Date	Responsibility	Status of Actions
Environment Management Plan		Ongoing	CDO/GOV	In Action
	I			Has the Risk Rating Changed
Consequence Category	Risk Ra	atings	Rating	since the last review?
		Consequence:	Moderate (3)	No
Environment, Reputation, Financial		Likelihood:	Possible (3)	No
	Ove	rall Risk Ratings:	Moderate	Risk rating trend since last review
Indicators	Туре	В	enchmark	Result
Tonnes per capita recyclable generation	Leading		5 Tonnes	
Decline in vegetation cover	Lagging		GIS data set (years)	
Number of validated environmental incidents Complaints from environmental groups	Lagging Lagging		. per year	
	55 5			
Comments				Comments
				·

Errors, omissions & delays

Sep-24

Risk Context

Errors, omissions or delays in operational activities as a result of unintentional errors or failure to follow due process including incomplete, inadequate or inaccuracies in advisory activities to customers or internal staff. Examples include;

- -Incorrect planning, development, building, community safety and Emergency Management advice
- -Incorrect health or environmental advice
- -Inconsistent messages or responses from Customer Service Staff
- -Any advice that is not consistent with legislative requirements or local laws.
- -Human error
- -Inaccurate recording, maintenance, testing or reconciliation of data.
- -Inaccurate data being used for management decision-making and reporting.
- -Delays in service to customers

This excludes process failures caused by inadequate / incomplete procedural documentation - refer "Inadequate Document Management Processes".

Management Processes".				
Potential causes include;				
Human error	Incorrect information			
nadequate formal procedures or training	Miscommunication			
ack of trained staff	Work pressure / stress			
Poor use of check sheets / FAQ's	Lack of understanding			
Unrealistic expectations from community, council or management	Health issues			
Poor internal communication between teams	Historical decisions / ad	dvice		
Disconnect between financial receipting and systems	Complex legislation			
Changes to legislation				Controls Assurance
Key Controls	Туре	Date	Rating	Control Owner
Occumented information sheets / website information / FAQ's to	Preventative	Ongoing	Adequate	CEO
ssist in providing advice to customers xternal consultants such as legal	Preventative	Ongoing	Effective	CEO
external stakeholder communications (website, news articles)	Preventative	Ongoing	Effective	CEO
·	Preventative		Effective	DCEO / MWS
Staff training program (mentoring, formal & on-the-job) Draw information from other Government agencies (DPaW,		Ongoing		
OWER, DOW)	Preventative	Jul-19	Adequate	CEO
Complaints resolution process	Recovery	Jul-19	Adequate	CEO
	Overel	I Cantral Batinga	A de consta	
	Overali	I Control Ratings:	Adequate	
Actions		Due Date	Responsibility	Status of Actions
				Han the Diele Detire Channel since t
Consequence Category	Risk Ra	tings	Rating	Has the Risk Rating Changed since t last review?
		Consequence:	Major (4)	No
Reputation / Compliance		Likelihood:	Unlikely (2)	No
·	Ov	erall Risk Ratings:	Moderate	Risk rating trend since last review
Indicators	Type Benchmark		enchmark	Result
nsurance claims	Lagging		<2	0
	Leading		<10	
	•			1
Referral to SAT/Ombudsman/Council for resolution	Lagging		<2	
Referral to SAT/Ombudsman/Council for resolution Substantiated complaints regarding errors, omissions, delays or	•		~2	
Referral to SAT/Ombudsman/Council for resolution Substantiated complaints regarding errors, omissions, delays or	Lagging		~2	_
Poor community feedback Referral to SAT/Ombudsman/Council for resolution Substantiated complaints regarding errors, omissions, delays or naccurate advice / information Comments	Lagging		~	Comments

External theft & fraud (Including Cyber)		Sep-24	
Risk Context				
Loss of funds, assets, data or unauthorised access, (whether attempelectronic), for the purposes of; Fraud: benefit or gain by deceit Malicious Damage: hacking, deleting, breaking or reducing the integ Theft: stealing of data, assets or information	, .		iny means (including	
Potential causes include;				
nadequate security of equipment / supplies / cash	Inadequate provision	for patrons belonging	s	
Robbery	Lack of Supervision			
Scam Invoices	Collusion with interna	l staff		
Cyber crime				
				Controls Assurance
Key Controls	Туре	Date	Rating	Control Owner
Community safety & crime prevention Committee	Preventative		Not Rated	
Building security access controls (alarms, CCTV, keypad access)	Preventative	Ongoing	Adequate	DCEO
uhan unmannad\	Preventative	Ongoing	Adequate	MOWS
Cash handling processes	Preventative	Ongoing	Adequate	DCEO
Spare keys in strong room / key cabinet	Preventative	Ongoing	Effective	DCEO
Stringent IT security passwords and protocols (contracted) nsurance for loss	Preventative	Ongoing	Adequate	DCEO DCEO
Photographic record of assets	Recovery Recovery	Ongoing Ongoing	Adequate Adequate	DCEO/MWS
Totographic record or assets	Recovery	Oligoling	Aucquate	BOLO/MVVC
	Overa	all Control Ratings:	Adequate	MCSF
Actions		Due Date	Responsibility	Status of Actions / Treatments
Renew photos of all shire works assets (building and plant) (Photos	taken December 2018)	Dec-25	ETO/MOWS	photos taken Jan 2019 -
Renew Photos of all administration assets - in progress		Dec-25	Admin	ongoing TBA
1 0				
Consequence Category	Risk R	Risk Ratings Rating		Has the Risk Rating Changed since the last review?
		Consequence:	Minor (2)	No
Financial / Property		Likelihood:	Possible (3)	No
	0	verall Risk Ratings:	Moderate	Risk rating trend since last review
Indicators	Type Benchmark			Result
Cyber breaches	Lagging		0	
nsurance claims	Lagging		nil	0
Number of incidents of theft or fraud	Lagging		nil	0
- Commonto				Commonts
<u>Comments</u>				Comments
				1

Management of Facilities / Venues / E	Sep-24			
Risk Context				
Failure to effectively manage the day to day operations of facilitie -Inadequate procedures in place to manage quality or availability -Poor crowd control -Ineffective signage -Booking issues -Stressful interactions with hirers / users (financial issues or not a -Inadequate oversight or provision of peripheral services (e.g., cl	v.			
Potential causes include:				
Double bookings	Traffic congestion or v	ehicles blocking entr	y or exit	
Illegal / excessive alcohol consumption	Insufficient time betwe	en bookings for clea	ning or maintenance	
Bond payments poorly managed	Difficulty accessing fac	cilities / venues.	-	
Inadequate oversight or provision of peripheral services (e.g cleaning / maintenance)	Poor service from conf	ering or cleaning)		
Falsifying hiring agreements (alcohol on site / lower deposit)	Renovations			
Unaccompanied minors/children	Animal Contamination			
Failed chemical / health requirements				
				Controls Assurance
Key Controls	Туре	Date	Rating	Control Owner
Event management process in place	Preventative	Ongoing	Effective	CDO / BHO
Feedback from users of facility and community	Preventative	Ongoing	Adequate	CDO
Inspection, maintenance and cleaning schedules	Preventative	Ongoing	Adequate	MOWS
All departments are kept informed (road works, retic, catering, maintenance, traffic management, etc.) about activities taking place at venues	Preventative	Ongoing	Adequate	Mows
Booking information sent to Police	Preventative	Ongoing	Adequate	ADMIN
Booking system (LLC electronic, hard copy -other)	Preventative	Ongoing	Adequate	ADMIN
Council approval for significant events Events package given to hirer (information sheets, events	Preventative	Ongoing	Adequate	CEO / BHO
questionnaire / procedures / checklist)	Preventative	Ongoing	Effective	ВНО
Insurance certificate of currency checked	Preventative	Ongoing	Effective	ВНО
Waivers signed Insurance	Preventative	Ongoing Ongoing	Adequate	ADMIN MCSF
Key return / bond system / check of facility	Recovery Recovery	Ongoing	Adequate Adequate	ADMIN
,,		- 119-1119		
	Overa	II Control Ratings:	Adequate	
Actions	Responsibility	Status of Actions		
		Due Date	recoponicionity	
				Use the Diele Detine
Consequence Category	Risk Ratings Consequence:		Rating	Has the Risk Rating Changed since the last review?
			Minor (2)	no
Reputation	Likelihood:		Possible (3)	no
•	Overall Risk Ratings:		Moderate	Risk rating trend since last review
Indicators	Type Benchmark			Result
Attendance at events	Leading		f anticipated numbers	good
Community satisfaction with services and facilities Injuries / incidents	Leading	<5 complaints		2 0
Insurance claims	Lagging	33 3		0
Substantiated complaints regarding Facilities / Venues / Events	Lagging	<5 complaints		2
Comments				Comments

IT or communication systems and infrastructure Sep-24 Risk Context Disruption, financial loss or damage to reputation from a failure of information technology systems. Instability, degradation of performance, or other failure of IT or communication system or infrastructure causing the inability to continue business activities and provide services to the community. This may or may not result in IT Disaster Recovery Plans being invoked. Examples include failures or disruptions caused by: -Hardware or software -Networks -Failures of IT Vendors This also includes where poor governance results in the breakdown of IT maintenance such as; -Configuration management -Performance monitoring This does not include new system implementations - refer "Inadequate Project / Change Management". Potential causes include; Non-renewal of licences Weather impacts Inadequate IT incident, problem management & Disaster Recovery Power outage on site or at service provider Processes Out-dated, inefficient or unsupported hardware or software Lack of process and training Vulnerability to user error Incompatibility between operating systems Failure of vendor Cyber crime and viruses Equipment purchases without input from IT department Turnover of system administration support Unauthorised Physical access to the Server. Software vulnerability **Controls Assurance Key Controls** Type Date Rating Control Owner Service level agreement with contractor / Vendor Preventative Ongoing Effective DCEO Performance monitoring by contractor Preventative Ongoing **Effective** DCFO Maintenance program Preventative Ongoing **Effective** DCEO Formal IT Infrastructure replacement / refresh program Preventative Ongoing Effective DCEO IT security access protocols and firewalls Preventative Ongoing Effective DCEO IT Disaster Recovery Plan Recovery Inadequate **DCEO** Ongoing Multiple data back-up systems Recovery **Effective** DCEO Generator Recovery Ongoing DCEO UPS (20min) Recovery Ongoing DCEO **Environmental Controls** Preventative Ongoing DCEO Inadequate Physical Access controls Preventative Ongoing Inadequate DCEO Fire Supprression and Detection Preventative Ongoing DCEO Preventative Surveillance and Monitoring Ongoing DCEO **Effective** Ongoing upgrades to virus protection Preventative Ongoing DCFO Software support by contractor / Vendor Preventative Ongoing Effective DCEO **Overall Control Ratings: Effective** MCSF Actions Due Date Status of Actions Responsibility Complete IT Disaster Recovery Plan Scheduled with IT Dec-24 DCEC Quotes have been obtained and Installation of CCTV, Smoke Alarm, Air Conditioning, Digital locks and Roller Shutters Jan-24 **DCEO** works is scheduled. Has the Risk Rating Changed **Risk Ratings** Consequence Category Rating since the last review? Consequence: No Major (4) Likelihood: Likely (4) No Service disruption Overall Risk Ratings: High Risk rating trend since last review Indicators **Benchmark** Result Type nil 0 Cyber breaches Lagging <5 3 Non-availability of network infrastructure during business hours Lagging not > than 60 minutes System downtime Lagging Comments Comments

Misconduct			Sep-24	
Risk Context				
Intentional activities intended to circumvent the Code of Conduct policies, procedures or delegated authority. This would include in-Relevant authorisations not obtainedDistributing confidential informationAccessing systems and / or applications without correct authority-Misrepresenting data in reportsTheft by an employee -Inappropriate use of plant, equipment or machinery-Inappropriate use of social mediaInappropriate behaviour at workPurposeful sabotage This does not include instances where it was not an intentional be	stances of: to do so.		cumvent endorsed	
Potential causes include:				
Inadequate training of code of conduct \ induction	Greed, gambling or ser	nse of entitlement		
Changing of job roles and functions/authorities	Collusion between inte	rnal & external part	ies	
Delegated authority process inadequately implemented	Password sharing	·		
Disgruntled employees	Sharing of confidential	information		
Lack of internal checks	<u> </u>		voroight	
	Low level of Superviso		versigni	
Covering up poor work performance	Believe they'll get away	<u> </u>		
Poor enforcement of policies and procedures	Undue influence from I	Manager / Councillo	or	
Information leaked to Tenderers during the Tender process	Poor work culture			
Insubordination	By-passing established	d administrative pro	cedures	
				Controls Assurance
Key Controls	Туре	Date	Rating	Control Owner
Segregation of duties (Financial)	Preventative	Nov-23	Adequate	DCEO
Delegated authority for procurement	Preventative	Dec-23	Adequate	CEO
Delegation control / framework	Preventative	Jan-24	Adequate	CEO
External Audits	Preventative	Jun-24	Effective	CEO
Police clearances Annual drivers licence checks	Preventative Preventative	Ongoing Jul-23	Effective Adequate	DCEO MOWS
Strong management culture (Zero tolerance for misconduct)	Preventative	Ongoing	Adequate	MGMT
Cash handling policy and procedures	Preventative	Ongoing	Adequate	MCSF
IT security access framework (profiles & passwords)	Preventative	Ongoing	Adequate	DCEO
Induction Process (Code of Conduct)	Preventative	Ongoing	Adequate	DCEO / MWS
Social Media policy Insurance	Preventative Recovery	Jul-23 Ongoing	Adequate Adequate	CEO DCEO
lisurance	Recovery	Origonia	Adequate	DOLO
	Overell C	antical Batimas		
	Overall C	ontrol Ratings:	Adequate	
Actions		Due Date	Responsibility	Status of Actions
Drivers Licence Checks - Administration		Ongoing	Admin / GCO	
Consequence Category Risk Ratings Rating		Rating	Has the Risk Rating Changed since the last	
	Consequence:			review?
Barrier III.			Possible (3)	No
Reputation / Finance	Overall Risk Ratings:		High	Risk rating trend since last review
Indicators	Type Benchmark		Result	
Audit notifications	Lagging < 2 minor; <=1 major			
Budget variances	Lagging	<10%		approved
Disregarding or manipulating procurement process	Leading	nil		0
Incidents warranting dismissal Internal and external complaints (PID)	Lagging	nil nil		0 1
Suppliers not being paid or complaints from suppliers (not	Lagging			
involved in collusion or bribery with staff)	Lagging	paid w	ithin 30 days	paid within 30 days
Wilful breach of segregation of duties	Leading		nil	0
				Comments

Project / Change management Sep-24 **Risk Context** Inadequate analysis, design, delivery and / or status reporting of change initiatives, resulting in additional expenses, time delays or scope changes. This includes: -Inadequate change management framework to manage and monitor change activities. -Inadequate understanding of the impact of project change on the business. -Failures in the transition of projects into standard operations. -Failure to implement new systems -Inadequate handover process This does not include new plant & equipment purchases. Refer "Inadequate Asset Sustainability Practices" Potential causes include; Lack of communication and consultation Excessive growth (too many projects) Lack of investment Inadequate monitoring and review Ineffective management of expectations (scope creep) Project risks not managed effectively Lack of project methodology knowledge and reporting requirements Inadequate project planning (resources/budget) Geographic or transport difficulties sourcing equipment / materials Failures of project Vendors/Contractors External consultants underquoting on costs **Controls Assurance Key Controls** Rating **Control Owner** Type Date Adequate CFO Adhere to formal project management guidelines Preventative Ongoing Clear project ownership Preventative Ongoing Adequate Community engagement policy and framework Adequate CEO Preventative Ongoing Post-project debriefs Preventative Ongoing Adequate CEO Preferred list of contractors Preventative CEO Not Rated Risk assessments are conducted before, during and after Preventative Adequate CEO Ongoing handover Stakeholder meetings and consultation CEO Preventative Ongoing Adequate Photos are taken during projects and completed works Recovery Ongoing Adequate CEO **Overall Control Ratings:** Adequate CEO Status of Actions Actions **Due Date** Responsibility Has the Risk Rating Risk Ratings Changed since the last **Consequence Category** Rating review? Consequence: Major (4) Consequence: Likelihood: Possible (3) Likelihood: Financial / Reputational / Health Risk rating trend since last Overall Risk Ratings: High review Benchmark Result Indicators Type Budget overruns / blowouts not > than 10% Lagging not more than 5% Deviations from the project scope Lagging Failed objectives Lagging poor Missed deadlines / milestones Lagging Comments Comments

Safety and Security practices Sep-24 Risk Context Non-compliance with the Occupation Safety & Health Act, associated regulations and standards. It is also the inability to ensure the physical security requirements of staff, contractors and visitors. Other considerations are negligence or carelessness. Potential causes include; Lack of appropriate PPE / equipment Inadequate signage, barriers or other exclusion techniques Inadequate first aid supplies or trained first aiders Poor storage and use of dangerous goods Ineffective / inadequate testing, sampling or other health-related Inadequate security protection measures in place for buildings, depots and other places of work requirements Lack of mandate and commitment from senior management Inadequate or unsafe modifications to plant & equipment Inadequate organisational Emergency Management requirements Inadequate policy, frameworks, systems and structure to (evacuation diagrams, drills, wardens etc.). prevent the injury of visitors, staff, contractors and/or tenants Inadequate supervision, training or mentoring of staff Slow or inadequate response to notifications from public Controls Assurance **Key Controls** Date Rating **Control Owner** Type Building and facility security Preventative Ongoing Adequate MOWS / DCEO MOWS Contractor site inductions Preventative Ongoing Adequate Adequate Drug and alcohol policy Preventative Ongoing CFO Employee Assistance Program Preventative Adequate DCEO Ongoing Ensuring buildings meet local and State mandated standards Preventative BHO Ongoing Adequate particularly where public safety is concerned Ongoing CEO Fitness for work policy Preventative <u>Adequate</u> Hazardous Substance and Dangerous Goods registers OSH Rep Preventative Ongoing Effective Safety Risk Register Preventative Ongoing OSH Rep Health and Wellbeing program Preventative Ongoing DCEO Incident register / incident reporting procedures Preventative Ongoing MOWS Isolated worker management Preventative MOWS Ongoing Adequate Organisational Emergency Management Plan and evacuation Preventative Ongoing Adequate DCEO diagrams Purchasing policies and procedures consider safety issues Preventative Ongoing CEO Regular documented safety inspections Adequate MOWS Preventative Ongoing Safe work practices (Safe Work Method Statements) MOWS Preventative Adequate Ongoing Staff inductions Preventative Ongoing Adequate MOWS Ongoing Adequate Preventative MOWS Toolbox meetings Trained first aiders Preventative Ongoing Adequate MOWS Recovery Ongoing Adequate MOWS Emergency procedures Return to work programs Recovery Ongoing Adequate DCEO Ongoing Regional Risk Coordinator Preventative Adequate OSH **Overall Control Ratings:** MOWS Adequate Status of Actions Actions **Due Date** Responsibility Has the Risk Rating **Consequence Category** Risk Ratings Rating Changed since the last review? Consequence: Moderate (3) no Likelihood: Possible (3) no Health Risk rating trend since last Overall Risk Ratings: Moderate review **Benchmark** Result Indicators Type Disciplinary action (staff not following safety procedures) Leading <=5 <=5 Failed safety inspections Leading 2 days O Lost Time Injuries Lagging <=1 none reported Near misses Leading Poor OSH audit results Leading compliant in progress Workers Compensation claims Lagging <=2 0 Comments <u>Comments</u>

Supplier / Contract management			Sep-24	
Risk Context				
Inadequate management of external Suppliers, Contractors, IT \ issues that arise from the ongoing supply of services or failures i Concentration issues (contracts awarded to one supplier) Vendor sustainability				
Potential causes include;				
Insufficient funding	Inadequate contract m	anagement practices	<u> </u>	
Complexity and quantity of work	Ineffective monitoring			
Inadequate tendering process	Lack of planning and c			
Contracts not renewed on time	Historical contracts ren			
Suppliers not willing to provide quotes	Limited availability of s			
ouppliers not willing to provide quotes			Controls Assurance	
Key Controls	Туре	Date	Rating	Control Owner
Strict / Effective tender processes Procurement management process (below tender criteria)	Preventative Preventative	Ongoing Ongoing	Effective Adequate	DCEO MOWS/DCEO
Legal advice (to confirm correct drafting of documentation and	i ievenialive	Origoing	Aucquate	IVIOVV 3/DOEU
to prevent unknowingly accepting liability of the contractor or other parties)	Preventative	Ongoing	Adequate	CEO
Senior management oversight at contract establishment stage	Preventative	Ongoing	Adequate	CEO
Ongoing reviews of all contractor and supplier contract arrangements	Preventative	Ongoing	Adequate	DCEO/MOWS
Equipment hire available if needed	Recovery	Ongoing	Adequate	MOWS
Insurance	Recovery	Ongoing	Effective	DCEO
Other contractors or suppliers available for all requirements if contractor or supplier defaults	Recovery	Ongoing	Effective	MOWS
	Overal	Il Control Ratings:	Adequate	<u> </u>
Actions				
Review contractor and supplier contract arrangements		Annually	Responsibility FPA-ES	Status of Actions Scheduled
review contractor and supplier contract arrangements		Aillidally	ITA-LO	Concadica
Consequence Category	Risk Ratings		Rating	Has the Risk Rating Changed since the last review?
	Consequence:		Major (4)	Consequence
	Likelihood:		Possible (3)	Likelihood
Service interruption, Financial	Overall Risk Ratings:		High	Risk rating trend since las
Indicators	Type Benchmark		Result	
Contract conditions not met	Lagging	nil		
Customer complaints	Leading	<5 CPI		
Increased costs >CPI Number of expired contracts not yet renewed	Leading Lagging	<3		
Staff feedback	Leading			
		<u> </u>		Comments
Comments				
<u>Comments</u>				
<u>Comments</u>				